

**Town of Londonderry, Vermont
Village Wastewater Committee
Meeting Minutes
Tuesday, March 19, 2024 – 6:00 PM**

**Neighborhood Connections, 5700 VT Rte. 100
Londonderry, VT**

Village Wastewater Committee (VWC) Members Present: Sharon Crossman (Interim Chair), Larry Gubb, Tom Metcalfe, Gary Hedman (online)

Others in Attendance:

Melissa Brown

Online:

Chrissy Haskins (Dufresne Group – Project Engineers), Shane O’Keefe (Administrator, Town of Londonderry)

1. Call meeting to order.

The meeting was called to order at 6:05 PM.

2. Additions or Deletions to the Agenda:

No additions or deletions.

3. Approve Minutes

Tom Metcalfe made a motion to approve the minutes of the 2-20-24, 3-1-24 and 3-8-24 meetings of the VWC, Sharon seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

4. VWC – NEXT STEPS post 90% Report and successful Town Bond Vote

- a. Debrief VWC update and discussion at SB Meeting on March 18, 2024**
- b. Discuss South Village Survey Launch and any Initial Feedback: -Chrissy & Alyssa**
- c. Confirm Hiring Process for Project Coordinator: Emily, Shane**
- d. Develop Draft RFP for Easement Appraisals: Chrissy, Shane**
- E. Confirm process for addressing incoming email Comments/Questions**

Tom Metcalfe mentioned that the 90% report must be brought to 100% by April 15,2024 and asked Chrissy if she had gotten all the questions and other comments from the State that would apply to taking the report to 100%. Chrissy responded, no, but expected to have comments from Emily and Achouak Arfaoui (Indirect Discharge Technical Analyst and Regional Engineer for the Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC), specifically working on all ARPA

projects), early this week. Chrissy said that if the State does not get questions, comments, and edits to her before the deadline, the 90% report would be submitted as the final, in order to meet the deadline of April 15, 2024. Sharon asked what the impact of that would be if that became the situation. Chrissy responded that as long as the 90% was submitted on time, the delay being on the State's end would basically forfeit the State's right to comment on the 90% report. Tom asked if the State has any legal obligation to respond within a certain period? Chrissy answered that there is no regulatory timeframe in which they must respond at this level. She said the 90% report was submitted on January 31, 2024, and that the Clean Water section of WID (Water Investment Division) have a goal to respond within 60 days. She continued that Emily is aware of both the April 15th deadline and that the 90% would be submitted if they were unable to get comments to Chrissy prior to that deadline.

Tom said 60 days from January 31st would be about April 1st or 2nd. Chrissy said that her ability to respond to any comments in a timely fashion would depend upon the volume of comments and how substantial they were. She said that Emily had advised that she may not have very many comments and that most comments would likely come from Achouak and that any issues could also be dealt with during the permitting process, since Achouak will be doing the permitting for both projects. She added that if Emily would accept doing so, the report could be revised and submitted after April 15th, based on a timeframe presented by the State or the 90% report would be submitted, and revisions made during the permitting process. She said that having Achouak dedicated to all ARPA projects was intended to help things move faster through the permitting process.

Sharon said the successful bond vote was discussed in the Selectboard meeting the night before, asking for additional comments. Tom said it was all good and others agreed.

Sharon asked if Shane could start with any comments on how hiring a "project coordinator" might begin. Shane responded that he had spoken to Emily asking her several questions. He asked her what amount was available for hiring someone and if Londonderry might hire the Windham Regional Commission (WRC) without a solicitation process or if the Town might hire them directly at their standard rates. He had not yet heard back from her.

Melissa had a question for Chrissy asking if she would recommend a timeline for when the Town should have a project coordinator in place. Chrissy was unsure of where the budgeted amount for the current WRC contract (with BDCC (Brattleboro Development Credit Corp.)) for public outreach stood with regard to it being exhausted or whether there was still money available. She said there are still a lot of pieces of the project that remain, including things like reimbursements and what will soon be the need to have appraisals done, coordination with the Selectboard regarding the ordinance and fee structures to keep moving forward. She said a project coordinator would not be needed immediately, but fairly soon.

Shane said that as soon as he heard back from Emily, he would contact WRC (assuming she would say a solicitation process was unnecessary) and would first gauge their interest in acting as project coordinator and if so, work on a contract with them he would then take to the

Selectboard for confirmation, as well as check with the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources (ANR) to confirm that process for hiring WRC was okay. Tom brought up the question of how responsibilities of a “project coordinator” hired by the Town would be separated and different than those of Dufresne Group (DG). He asked if Chrissy and Shane could get together on the two scopes of work to make for a seamless and coordinated effort going forward. Chrissy said that DG had most of their scope nailed down saying it mostly consisted of technical design work, permitting assistance, additional surveying to do. Survey work would include coordinating with property owners to see where their septic tanks, septic fields, wells, service lines, what their electrical power services. Boundary surveys are needed, additional archeological evaluation, test pits and soil borings, plus coordination with property owners and Town attorney for service line easements, Environmental Information Document (EID). Attendance at VWC meetings and several public meetings are also included in their proposed scope.

Tom commented that he didn’t hear anything about preparing or managing a critical path or schedule for coordination of activities and getting into permitting, etc. He asked if these were things that should be under the purview of the “project coordinator” (“PC”) or would it be something DG would have an overview of and would then pass to the “PC”. Chrissy responded that there would be a project schedule in their contract, as well as one that would have to be followed as a part of the ARPA agreement. Chrissy said she could put these together for the VWC. Shane added that a “PC” would monitor the schedule to ensure things were on task. Shane said BDCC has already been working with the Town to process payment for work on this project thus far. Shane said he packages all the invoices and cancelled checks for the project under any existing contracts then forwards this to BDCC and they upload the information to ANR and the draw down is done through them. He added that it has not been a great deal of work for BDCC but has been critical to getting reimbursed by funding sources on a timely basis. He thought a hired “project coordinator” could do that sort of work, rather than BDCC. Melissa commented that this would relieve Shane from doing the work as well. Shane responded that the invoices would still likely come to him, and he would just hand them off to the “PC”. He said that currently he tracks everything, keeping it in order and then packages it and sends it to BDCC, adding that it all has to be in a specific format also saying that it has been a lot of work and time for him as Town Administrator.

Chrissy asked Shane if someone was reviewing the reimbursements from State funding to ensure the Town is getting reimbursed for everything. Shane said he is the person that does the review and that there is some pushback from the State on a few submittals, one of them being reimbursements for test pits, which is an ongoing discussion with the State. The other was the cost for “meals” (refreshments provided at townwide public meetings). Chrissy said she wanted to ask if they are being reviewed because there have been some issues in the past. Shane confirmed that reviews are being done and resubmittals and discussions taking place. Sharon asked Chrissy if the issues were related to this project or were other projects also having issues. Chrissy said that other projects were having issues as well and explained that the finance people do not seem to understand the work that is being done and which “bucket” to place the expense in and all the “buckets” (categories of expense) may not be totally defined so the finance people are unsure of where to place some expenses. Shane said that seemed to be the case on

reimbursement for test pits and added that Emily is aware of the problem and working on the issue. Chrissy added that it was important to review and stay on top of concerns like this, so they don't get lost. Melissa asked if there might be anyone in particular that could help remedy these situations to give Shane a break from having to keep after them. Chrissy said that unfortunately DG didn't carry anymore weight than the Town when it came to expediting or solving these concerns. Shane said that once a "PC" came onboard, he could hand the bulk of the work to them and he could still act to help complete the reimbursements, but added currently, things seemed to be going okay.

Tom asked Chrissy about her scope of work for a new contract with ARPA funding and where that stood as far as completion and submittal. Chrissy said she has not yet gotten it to Emily for review, saying Emily had told her she did not yet have time to review it. Chrissy said she was hoping to get this to her in time for review before the April 1, 2024, Londonderry SB meeting. Shane said he would be working on an RFP for an appraiser that he hoped to have out by the end of this week.

Tom asked if this meant the thought was to have the scope of work and cost reviewed and approved by Emily so that it could be ready to enter into a contract for approval by the Selectboard, by their April 1, 2024, meeting. Chrissy said that both the scope of work and the cost estimates are fairly standard. The State uses a fee curve formula. Shane said he has an appraisal contract, engineers' contract and project coordinator contract all proposed to be on the agenda for the April 1st Selectboard meeting.

Sharon asked about the surveying in the two villages and how it was going. Chrissy explained that Alyssa, is a member of the Dufresne Group staff and that she had already surveyed the Prouty property and was working in the south village working all this week and the next. She has flyers with her about the survey work to hand out to anyone with questions, business cards to show her identity and during this time, not planning to go on any private properties, but to find building corners. Shane mentioned that someone had asked about whether the surveying would include the surveyor walking onto people's property and said he thought there was a state law that allowed surveyors to do so on an as needed basis. Chrissy confirmed that this was true and that currently the surveyors are not going behind any structures, not looking for septic systems. Currently, they are looking to create their base map, which is mainly in the public rights-of-way (R.O.W.) and the only need to go onto properties now is to establish building corners. Once there is more preliminary information about where septic and well are, DG will come back to survey those locations. Shane asked if Alyssa was versed in the statute about allowing surveyors on property in the event someone questions her. Chrissy was not aware that she was or was not but would speak with her about it and said that Alyssa was a very friendly person and that Chrissy had alerted her to a property that currently did not want any contact about the project.

Sharon mentioned she saw three blue round markers on the ground, wondering if that were part of the survey work. Chrissy said that occasionally control points will be marked, but not normally with more than one marker. She also did not know what color was being used for the markers.

Gary asked about the Prouty property and what the survey would show and about the additional work that still needed to be done according to Chrissy. He said he would like to be able to tell the Conservation Commission about the additional work and location of it on the property. Chrissy said the property had already been surveyed, but there was still a need to go back and do more test pits. She added that doing the shovel testing for archeological review and the process for getting approval from the Division for Historic Preservation (DHP) to do the test pits, would push back completion of full test pits until mid to late May, but mentioned that Emily is working with DHP to allow the archeologists to do their work earlier. She explained that currently the State will not allow the archeologists to do anything until May. She added that typically it takes two weeks to get approval. There are also additional test pits needed for the private property site in the north village. Gary asked if Chrissy could send him a copy of the additional test pit locations that he could pass on to the Conservation Commission. Chrissy said they are already on the 90% report. The existing test pits are marked in red, the still needed additional test pits are marked in blue.

Sharon asked about development of a draft RFP for easement appraisals. Shane said that he had gotten a scope of work from WRC that he needed to integrate into the Town's standard RFP. He had it on his list of things to do for this week. Shane said he believed there was nothing special or additional needed by the Town to get the appraisals done. Chrissy confirmed saying all the appraisers would need is a list of which properties need appraisals.

Tom asked whether the appraisals would be on an entire property or on the area (segment) of the property that would be used for the project and access to it. Chrissy was unsure of how the appraisers would develop easement appraisals and suggested asking the appraisers how they will make these determinations. She said this sort of work is commonly done for Vermont Agency of Transportation (VTrans) projects and that they likely have a standard for how they do them. Tom asked additionally if this was not different than VTrans. Chrissy said that until system design has been done, determining the area that needed to be appraised would be difficult. Shane added that he didn't know whether it would make a huge difference in the value, plus or minus.

Shane mentioned that he was still waiting to hear from Emily as to whether the Town could use the value of the Prouty property as part of the local match for the grant. He wondered and asked Chrissy if it might still be necessary as we're working under 100% funding for the non-construction portion of the project work. Chrissy was unsure how it might work. Shane suggested that acquisitions were "soft costs" and covered 100%, so that it may not be necessary for a local match. Chrissy asked if the issue was asking if the project would pay the cost of the Prouty property to the Town. Shane said the thought was to claim the donation of the value of the land as part of the local match, were it needed. Chrissy suggested that Shane refer to Emily on the question. Tom agreed that if the Town property has a value to the project and Larry added that if the Town property was not available and a private property needed to be purchased instead, the project would pay for the private property or easement purchase, thus a cost to the project would still be incurred. If the Town donated the value of the property to the project and if a local match was needed to cover "soft costs", like acquisition, it seemed logical to consider the

donation value as part of the local match. It was agreed that Emily needed to be the one to answer the question.

Sharon brought up the question of the process for addressing incoming e-mail with people's comments and questions.

Tom said that before the bond vote, Chrissy was copying him on all incoming communications for the purpose of getting responses out, where they were required. He said there were maybe 4-5 e-mails at the most. He said he hasn't heard anything since the bond vote and didn't know if Chrissy was accumulating any with any sorts of requests about interest to hook into the systems. Chrissy responded that she did not believe she has gotten anything recently from Sue who has been checking the project e-mail address for anything coming in. Chrissy said she had several e-mails come directly to her with people inquiring about connecting to the systems. She said she has responded directly to them telling them they have been added to the list and that they will be contacted as the project moves into final design and more is known about how many priority properties may be signaling, they wish to hook into the systems. She said currently there are 4 properties in the north village that have expressed an interest in hooking into the system and 5 in the south village, that do not include any of the Town owned buildings. She said she does not have anything definitive from the South Londonderry Free Library but recalled that they did express an interest in hooking into the south village system. Sharon confirmed that she also recalled their interest. Chrissy added that 3 others inquired if they would be able to connect and she had responded yes to them and asked if they wanted to be added to the list, but had heard nothing back from them, yet. Sharon asked if any of the VWC are approached by anyone interested in hooking into the systems should refer them to and ask them to contact Chrissy for more information. Chrissy said yes and suggested the VWC ask them to provide their contact information (phone number and/or email) as well as the location of their property.

Shane asked if that would not be a role for the "project administrator" going forward. Sharon said she would assume that would be a role for the "PC". Chrissy said that before too long the VWC will be doing a more organized effort to reach out and ask property owners to express their desire to hook into the system or not and that a "PC" could take an active role in that. Shane wondered if it might be a better use of DG time to focus on design work and Chrissy agreed it would make it easier for them if a "PC" took over those duties and passing on information DG needed for their design work to DG.

Sharon asked if the VWC should be seeking people informally or let people inquire and then direct them to Chrissy. Chrissy said she believed the best way would be through an organized singular effort with formalized outreach, via flyers and mailers, then contact those who had not responded to the initial outreach. Tom asked if the outreach should be modeled to say the survey of potential users is beginning and "if interested, please contact". Chrissy said yes, with the mailing being targeted at the potential service areas. She said her thought was to do something simple asking people if they were interested, to fill out a form to express that interest and reach out to the VWC and the mailing go to people specifically in the potential service areas. Tom asked if Chrissy has a list of the properties in the potential service areas. Chrissy said she

does, but the data she has is outdated as to names and addresses and that the Town data should be more up-to-date and reliable. Shane confirmed that the Town listers has current information. He added that where the letter should come from might be something the Selectboard decided upon, but that he sensed it should come from the Town, rather than from any consultants. Sharon and Melissa expressed agreement that it should come from the Town. It was thought the effort to put together a letter could begin right away.

Tom said his understanding was that the design work needed to be completed by the end of June of 2024. Chrissy said she spoke with Emily and that the previously discussed schedule was that the Indirect Discharge permit application had to be submitted by June. Because the south village project second phase would increase the capacity so that it moved into the Indirect Discharge Program, this timeline would only affect the south village project. By the grant schedule, final design must be done by the end of September 2024. This date originally included final design and permitting by the end of September and to get an Indirect Discharge permit, it would have to be submitted in June. She said that in a conversation with Emily, the timeline for archeological review and approval having to wait till May would make it impossible to submit an Indirect Discharge permit application by June 1st. She said there was a decision to allow the application to be made in September because of this. Tom said his understanding was that there was a real need to have the archeological work done as early as possible. Chrissy said that yes, the archeological work should begin as early as possible, but that if it still could not begin until May, that made putting together an Indirect Discharge permit application impossible to submit by June.

Melissa asked if outreach should begin now or wait, and Chrissy responded that beginning as soon as possible would be best. She added that it will not be possible to commit to who can connect until there is a better idea of who wishes to connect. She said if a single property owner wants to connect at a distance from any other properties that want to connect, the Town may have to decide whether to proceed in that direction or look for a larger cluster of properties that want to hook-up. Once it is known who wants to connect, a better picture of where clusters will be formed and what collection systems will look like can be formulated.

Sharon asked about the content of the letter and if Chrissy had any thoughts on what should be included. Chrissy said it should be simple and basically about letting the Town know they want to hook-up or not. Larry asked if we should point out which types of properties would fall into the “priority” category. Chrissy said there was no need to point that out as it will become apparent as the information comes in and properties begin to fall into the priority categories we have already discussed. She said letters should be sent to all and should state that interest in hooking up to the system is not a warranty from the Town that they will be able to, but given the capacity, if they would like to hook into the system, they have a chance to hook-in. This would also be valuable for the second phase of the south village system should it go to construction and nearly double the available capacity there.

Tom mentioned that maybe the outreach should ask people if they knew how old their existing system was. Chrissy added that the question can be rhetorical and not a question they have to

respond to the town with but ask themselves. Larry added that getting a mailing might cause them to think of this anyway. Melissa added that getting a mailing about this would mean those receiving them have a chance to hook into the system as a potential positive for them.

Shane asked if Chrissy had a database of properties by parcel numbers or some other means. Chrissy said the information she has is by 911 addresses. Shane suggested putting together a list that has information from 911 addresses, SPAN (School Property Account Number), parcel numbers and addresses to increase accuracy, would be best and not too difficult to assemble. Tom asked if Chrissy could get her address information to Shane asap, so the VWC could begin to compose the letter to go out and she responded that she would get it to Shane. Sharon asked if the VWC should collaborate with the SB or should the VWC put together something for the SB to approve. Melissa recommended the VWC putting something together for the SB to approve. Tom recommended that it can be simple stating what being in the potential user area can mean for property owners. Melissa asked if it should be sent general mail or certified mail. Shane said if it is not returned, we know they got it. Melissa said we still don't know if they opened it. Chrissy said that round one would be sending out the initial mailing and round two would be contacting all the people that did not respond to the initial mailing. Shane suggested a postage paid self-addressed return capacity where people express an interest in hooking into the system or not would make it easy for people to respond.

Melissa asked if Shane concurred with the idea of the VWC compose a letter and he concurred, adding that the content could be approved at the April 1st Selectboard meeting.

Sharon asked if there was a need for a Special Meeting to compose something. Tom said he would be willing to compose something for the VWC to agree to and then run by the SB for approval and offered suggestions as to who it comes to in the Town and possibly include information like number of bedrooms, etc. Chrissy said that when people express whether they wish to hook into the system she will have to gather more information about things like number of bedrooms, well location and existing system location, so it is unnecessary to ask for that information in this initial letter. Melissa said ask respondents for the best way to reach them, whether it is their mobile number, their home number, email, or some other way. Chrissy added that it was important to make it clear that expressing an interest is not committing someone to hooking up.

5. Other - Confirm assignments.

a. Review, Revise, Adopt VWW Ordinance per Draft Model proposed by WRC.

b. Discuss Potential Fee Structures: Chrissy, Shane

c. Loose ends

Sharon discussed the draft ordinance that Sue put together and asked whether Shane had gotten a copy of the ordinance. Shane mentioned that he has not yet seen it, but that he could put it into the form the Town uses for ordinances. Sharon will send it to Shane. Shane also mentioned RCAP (Rural Community Assistance Partnership) may be able to assist with review of this and fee structures. Chrissy said that RCAP could be very useful and added that the ordinance is going to

include how people will be charged for their service, it is important that the SB decide on how to organize this before the ordinance is adopted. She also said there is more design work to be done to determine some of the details of what users will be maintaining versus what the Town will be maintaining. In addition, she said it will also have to be determined who needs pre-treatment for “high-strength waste” and how it is incorporated into the fee structure if the project pays for the pre-treatment system. The high strength waste users could be charged more as users of the system commensurate with the added cost of their pre-treatment equipment, operation, and maintenance. Tom asked what businesses would fall under the category of producing high strength waste. The response was any sort of business that serves food. Chrissy added that the high strength waste rule has been in place since 2019, but only in the last year have the State focused on enforcing pre-treatment for all businesses that serve food. Tom asked if an allocation was included for potential pre-treatment in the cost estimates. Chrissy said there was no specific number and that some of those costs would fall under the contingency. Tom said that this would become another good motivator for potential users to hook into the system. The operation and maintenance (O&M) costs would be split among the users, with the recommendation by Chrissy that those with pre-treatment pay a slightly higher user fee as their pre-treatment will create a higher O&M cost than those who do not need pre-treatment.

Shane and Chrissy added that the ordinance could be worked on, but more information needs to be gathered and more decisions made before an ordinance could be adopted and there is currently no urgency to finalize the ordinance. Sharon said the VWC had not specifically sat down to look at the draft ordinance but could do so in a Special or a Regular meeting.

Shane recommended using RCAP to help with fee structures. Melissa discussed the bond vote and how the capital costs would be paid for. She wanted to understand how Magic Mountain’s wastewater system worked, who was hooked into it and how it was paid for, assuming that all people in the Magic Circle development were hooked into the system. Gary said that every residence in Magic Circle has their own individual septic system. He believed that the condominiums also had their own septic systems. He said the larger wastewater system Melissa was referring to only services the base lodge and other buildings associated with Magic. Melissa said that all those connected to the larger Magic system are also on the Grand List and that we would be asking them to pitch in to pay for the capital costs of the village systems. Tom suggested that this goes along with the philosophy of the VWC that the capital costs spread across the Grand List, where everyone could make the argument that they are already paying for their own systems (those who share the costs of the larger Magic system are essentially the same as those who pay the costs for their individual systems), is a community contribution along the lines of paying for roads, culverts, bridges and to send children to school (even if one sends no children to the school, currently or ever). The thought is to support the Town at a cost to all taxpayers which is close to de minimis, if not de minimis. Melissa agreed, saying was trying to clarify who is plugged into the Magic system. Gary also said that the Mountain Marketplace has a similar situation. Tom added that the SB can still decide in whichever way they choose to, but that the thought was that the cost is so minimal to spread the cost across the Grand List, that it was not burdensome, based on the annual cost of approximately \$13 per \$200,000 of property value.

Shane mentioned the upcoming webinar, hosted by RCAP on March 27, 6-8 PM, entitled, “**Why is it so Hard to Plan a Community Wastewater System in Vermont**” and asked if everyone had gotten notice of it. Chrissy said that John Kiernan from RCAP is the presenter, adding that he does great work and that she tried to get him to do project coordination for Londonderry, but RCAP only works on very focused scopes work for shorter periods of time.

Shane mentioned to Chrissy that he had contacted VTrans to let them know that this project was in the works and that they suggested meeting with Brian McAvoy.

11. Next VWC Meeting Date

Sharon asked about meeting schedules ahead in consideration of the work the VWC is continuing to do. She asked if there was a need to be on a fast track and maybe have more meetings or whether fewer meetings might be recommended. Tom responded that first it would be important to know who might be the “project coordinator” engaged by the Town and how they would join the VWC and how with the VWC, the project coordinator can help Dufresne Group keep things moving. Sharon asked if Chrissy or Shane had any thoughts regarding this. Chrissy responded that for what DG is doing, regulations and the permitting process will take over and those will guide her work. She said communications will remain important, but for her regulations and permitting will be the bulk of her work going forward. Whatever the VWC decides about meetings going forward should not affect her work specifically. Tom said there was the regulatory end of the project, and the Town impact portion of the project and coordination was needed between the community and the SB with the VWC in the middle to help make sure this happens and runs smoothly so the community knows what to expect. Sharon said the work the VWC needs to do can be done now. Gary suggested staying with the current Regular meeting schedule until the 90% report becomes the 100% final report and then reevaluate. Melissa concurred and suggested sticking with the same schedule until a “project coordinator” is hired, then sort out whether there should be a change in the Regular meeting schedule.

Larry said that Martha Dale from the SB had agreed to attend the first Friday morning meetings and Melissa confirmed. Melissa added that she will be joining the evening meetings on the third Tuesdays.

The next regular meetings of the VWC will be **the first Friday of every month at 10:00 Am and the third Tuesday of every month at 6:00 PM**

The next meeting of the VWC will be on April 5, 2024, at 9:00 AM

12. Adjourn

Larry made a motion to adjourn.
Tom seconded.
Motion passed.
Meeting was adjourned at 7:35 PM

Respectfully Submitted,
Larry Gubb
Secretary, Village Wastewater Committee

Approved _____
Village Wastewater Committee
Sharon Crossman, Interim Chair

Link to AV recording of March 8, 2024 meeting below.
Topic: Village Wastewater Study (Gail Mann- 802-856-7669)
Date: Mar 19, 2024, 05:59 PM Eastern Time (US and Canada)
You can copy the recording information below and share with others.

https://us06web.zoom.us/rec/share/--MzMv6GzxEE8pN4qOSwzOscLFwGSE_fmEI8pmTdZT9jxgUIa5E8W58aF3eBalC.6dZ4T-l6ZMeu3Ove

Passcode: 7kK9um@=

* * *